App F IX 4

1946 47
(mf)

File No 11-46
Serial Nos 52, 53

Previous References
mf 11-44 (62 63)

Later References
mf 8-46 (31 32)
11-47 (7 8)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos
= 110-0

Part B for Nov 46

SUBJECT

Grant of exemption to certain officials of the Mysore City Municipality from passing the Local Board Examination

K 5-921-25-Q 10 11-46

The Revenue Commr in Mysore
President City Municipality Mysore
Secretary Commr Store Purchase Committee
Public Service Commission
Comptroller in Mysore

Sir,

69]

2707/L

Continuation Sheet ML File No / Current No 11 of 44 Page

Letter No M66 111/44-45 dt 17-10-44 from the Dist, Coly mercl, Mysore requesting to grant exemption from passing the Local Boards exam as some of the officials have put in over 5 years of service on the date of passing the Go requiring them to pass the exam.

1) Should it be agreed, the Dist will be requested to forward a list showing the names of officials who come under the exemption to the Go by letter with service qualification

UMS 22/11

2) Yes dt 22/11

3) Submitted with a draft letter for appl

UMS 23/11

4) Issued 28/11

To the Dist, Coly mercl, Mysore No L-8096 dt 27-11-44

Calls for a statement furnishing certain particulars.

Dated

Dept

270-7 / 8020

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

Letter no H6-111/44 dated 1 June 45 from the PDT C&C Calcutta furnishing the information

5) Quite There are 9 officials in the Ministerial and 13 in the Executive establishment who come under the exemption contemplated in G.O. no 7497-507/ad-15-1-3 dated 18th Apr '34. All these officials have put in not less than 5 years of service on the date of this G.O. It is for orders whether a G.O. authorising exemption may be put up

H.S. 16/6 dd 18th

b) Please put up a copy of G.O. dated 18.4.1934

Read

7) The G.O. dated 18/4/34 is put up for perusal, the order clearly directs that all candidates for appointment or promotion to posts carrying a salary of Rs 25 & over should be required to pass the examination. As some of the officials drawing a salary of Rs 24/- they may be exempted from passing the examination, & the rest may be granted exemptions on account of their long service of over 5 years

Flag (B)

H.S. 9/6

8) Govt no L 7467-507 / ml 157-3275 dt 18-4-1934, it was directed that the officers working in the Municipal & District Board offices would be exempt from passing the Dept Examination regd to maintenance of accts they have put in a service of over five years. These orders have been modified from time to time. Pl put up copies of those orders

Sm 30/8/47

7) A copy of Govt no L 11751/41-126-41-6 dated 19-6-42 allowing two years time from 10-3-42 to the officers working under the Local Bodies is put up

Pl put up a copy of the order dated 20 June 42 in the collection dealing with said Govt order

Sm 3/9 3 Govt

10) A copy of Govt no L 8181-203 Ml 126-41-4 dated 10 March 1942 is put up. It is laid down that officers of the Rev department & Local Bodies shall pass the Revenue and the examination in Law & accounts relating to municipalities & District Boards within two years of their transfer to either of

Flag "V"

8020 L

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

the departments unless they
are exempted according to G.O.s 7487-
507 and 15-1-32-15 dated 18th Apr 34 which
contemplates exemption from passing
the examination, provided the Muslims have
put in a service of not less than five years
4 of 5/7 11) The President, upon the
advice of a member belonging to the
ministerial establishment & of 13 members
belonging to the executive establishment,
recommending them exemption from
passing the examination in d & s
It would defeat the very object of
putting an restricting this examination
if such a large number as 22
appeal have to be exempted from
passing the examination. We are not
known whether any of them attempted
to pass this examination ~~also~~ by appearing
at least once. It would not be
desirable to sanction such mass
exemptions from passing a necessary
examination 12) The proposal may be rejected (a)
for reasons stated in Appendix "A" 227
13) Remark: Recommend each
individual case separately stating
full case under the Rules
in case by case basis

[illegible] 1934
Late [illegible]

8020/ 8020) 1192

15 Draft letter

18/7 Engg

16 Secretary write a draft letter

H.S. 19/7 17 Secretary 19/7/45

TRANSFERRED
20 JULY 1945
GEN & REV SECTT

To the Port C.M.C. Mysore

L 669 dated 28/7/45

Calls for information

from the President, Mysore City Municipal

M 6 111/44-45, d 23-8-45

Requests recommendation of orders and

recommends grant of exemption to all the 22

Officials mentioned in the list, as they are in the

overaged or as their general Educational Qualification

is poor and adds that it would be unsatisfactory to

recommend each case individually as all of them

have to be granted exemption

18) The President Mysore City Municipal

Council has reiterated his previous recommendation

to exempt all the 22 officials from passing the

Test of Examination

19) The G.O. of April, 1934, is placed

Plan W

20) The President of the Mysore City Municipal

has again urged a reconsideration of

Sec

8/7

the order of Govt & has recommended
that the twenty-two officials (nine members
of the Ministerial Establishment & 13 members of
the Executive Establishment) might be granted
exemption from passing the departmental test
the power of the Revenue under any
Rules would not be ultra vires

12/9/45

Yes Sd/-
13/9

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY
No 1647
Dte 12/8
GOVT OF MYSORE

315 No No: 22
Forwarded with Mysore Compliments to the
A 1143
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore for favour
of favour of information in the proposals made by
the Chairman President, Municipal Council
B.D.
for Secretary to Govt
13/9/45

A, 1143/45-46 Dated 7th November 1945

Returned with compliments

21) According to Government Order No L 7487-507/
M1 151-32-15 dated 18-4-1934, all the 9 officials in
the Ministerial Establishment and officials from --
serial No 1 to 12 in the Executive Establishment
are entitled to exemption I think that, in the
light of this Government Order, the President is --
himself competent to sanction increments and regu-
late promotion in respect of officials who come --
within the purview of the Government Order, as in
the case of officials in the Bangalore City Munici-
pality Serial No 13 in the Executive Establish-

Flag A

ADDITIONAL SECRETARY
No -
Dte 7/11
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

7/11/45

11472/L

Establishment comes under the Ministerial Establishment This official has put in a Service of 2½ years in the Local Bodies Although his case does not strictly come under the purview of Government Order - - dated 18-4-1934, he may also be exempted in the circumstances explained by the - - President under Rule 9 of the Rules framed under Government Order No 6-105/C R B 1-34-1 dated 5-7-1934

Revenue Commissioner.
7th November 1944

22) The Revenue Commissioner has recommended Exemption from passing the Departmental Examination to the officials included in the list furnished by the President, Hyderabad City Municipal Council

23) It is found from the list that the name of Sri M S Lal Singh is also included among the names of persons to be exempted from passing the examination. An individual representation is reported to have been received in this case & if such representation is found to be genuine, orders may be passed

24) Collection No HL 11-44-63, is found

1190

25 In the 5th Case of the Sudan I recommend more on behalf of the Head Secretary of Bluecoat Sch; it was ordered recently that such proposals should be made to Govt thro' the Public Service Commission

Pl put up this file

Yes 12/1/46

Yes P 14/1 25 It is seen from the statement appended hereto in which exemption from passing the examination is recommended, the name of Mr Siddiqui has been included

A recommendation was separately made in his case specially and it was vetoed (vide letter No L 6204/ Sol II—46 dated 12 May this was communicated to the President, Supreme Court Secretary the case, it is not clear how the President included his name in the list The action of the President is not in order This will be pointed out to him.

25 In regard to the other Heads, reference is invited to the letter No L 3404 dated 15/12/45 addressed by the Govt Legal Adviser to the Chief Secretary to the Govt which such recommendations have to be made to Govt thro the Public Service Comm. The President, Supreme Court will be informed accordingly after approval

Revised 29/1/46

29 Ld. Advocate

Yes 23/1/46

Issued

5093 V 15/1

TYPING SECTION 24 JAN 1946 CIVIL & REV SECTT

Serial No

To

The President, City Municipal Magistrate L 7510 d 26-1-46-

reports accordingly

From the President City Municipal Magistrate M 111/44-45, d 27-2-46

states that the Local Auditor has expressed the opinion that according to Govt Order No 507/M (5)(1-32-4, dated 6-4-34 laying down that the staff working in the Municipal and District Board offices would be exempt from passing the Examination if they have already put in 5 years service, the increment is admissible in all these cases and adds that increment has accordingly been granted

30) The Public Service Comm will be consulted unofficially in the matter

31) A— may be seen

Revenue Sec 11/3/46

Revenue Sec 13/3

GO 217/45-46 & 13/3/46

32) Forwarded with compliments to the Public Service Commission in reply to their letter No 1653/45 dated 13/3/46 in the matter

Revenue Secretariat

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

14 MAR 1946

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION BANGALORE

File No of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

No 10 163/45-pm dated 29-5-1946

Returned with compliments

33) According to para 2 of Government Order dated 18th April 1934, the officials who have already put in a service of over five years will be exempted from passing the prescribed examinations Subsequent to this order, the Revised Rules of Recruitment were issued Provisions of Rules 8 and 9 thereof have superseded all previous orders pertaining to exemptions Since Government Order dated 18th April 1934 was issued prior to the date of issue of the Revised Rules of Recruitment, I think that para 2 of the former Government order must be construed as being superseded Appointments in the Municipalities and District Boards are also governed by the Rules of Recruitment, and as such, all cases of exemption arising since 1934 should be dealt with under Rule 9 of the Rules of Recruitment as is being done in other departments If this view is accepted the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore may be asked to submit separate recommendations on the merits of each case of exemption for orders of Government through the Public Service Commissioner

Flag V

Flag I

'J'

34) But, if Government consider that para 2 of Government Order dated 18th April 1934 has not been superseded, all the officials who had already put in a satisfactory service of over 5 years prior to 18th April 1934 would automatically be exempted from passing the examinations as the word "will" is mandatory The cases of other officials who have put in a

Serial No

service of 5 years and less should be dealt with under Rule 9 of the Revised Rules of Recruitment

B S Pillaiswamy
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONER

Fans 28/5

3) The Public Service Commissioner has raised a point of doubt as to whether the G.O. No 6-105-C & R dated 1-34-1 dated 3-7-1934, issuing revised rules of recruitment governing the Subordinate Services of Government has superseded G.O. No 748-507/ML 151-32-15 dated 18-4-1934 prescribing an Examination in Law and Accounts relating to Municipalities and District Boards, for the officials employed under the said Local Bodies

Provision of para 9 of the Annexure to V

T

4) The G.O. of 3-7-1934 quoted above relates mainly to the rules of recruitment, and Rule 9 thereof contemplates grant of exemption from passing the prescribed Departmental tests

5) The G.O. of 18-4-34 specifically prescribes the grant of exemption to officials who have rendered a service of five years, on the date of issue of the above G.O. in regard to the passing of the Examination

NOTE—Contn Sheet

6148 6145

File No of 194 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

37) The two GOs have to be treated as independent of each other both the Revenue Board and the President City Municipal Council have recommended grant of exemption to these officials, based on the Speaker provision of GO dated 18-4-1934

38) The Rules Section will be consulted on the ~~from~~ above proposals

39) Asst Legl. Advr (R&P-I section) are

40) The two govt orders referred to cannot be treated as independent each other The GO relating to the Revised Rules of Recruitment has superseded all previous orders pertaining to exemptions The GO relating to the General Rules of Recruitment (Dept) is applicable to all Depts of Govt In the above circumstances, the suggestion of the PSC contained in para 33 supra, will have to be accepted

Secy 28/6 29/6

29.6.46

FOR SC
A 1.86
Date 29/6

Excerpt
Unofficial translation

On a further examination
of all aspects of the question
I am inclined to agree with
the alternative set out in
para 34 of the memorial
namely that of 28 & 46
the order of 18 4 '36 giving
exemption to officials of local
bodies who have put in a
service of over five years
is mandatory and it is likely
that as applied by the municipal
president, Leyene, many of
the officials have recommended
for exemption were under the impression that
they were freed from the
obligation under the order
of 18 4 46 It is also likely
that if they had known
otherwise they might have
made some alternative
plans for examination

227/E

6148

Under these circumstances we may perhaps, under the operation of the Order of 18 4 3/4 Exempt such of the officials who had put in a service of more than 5 years after that date from passing the Examination those with less service will Come under the usual Rules of recruitment

MVR Red)

30/6/46 kms

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION
No 32
Date 2-7-46

2 JUL 1946

EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
Received 1 JUL 1946

1/7 kms

9/7 kms

12/7

12/7

Submitted with a draft letter

Typed up 13/7/46

TYPE SECTION 13/7
COPIED 1946

GEN. & REV.

6148

The President, H.C.I.T.
" Municipal Commr.
" Controller
" Revenue Comm.

L.S. 921- dt 13-11-46

Herewith instructions in regard to examining certain officials from Income Tax Department.

Tests

2707

Office of the President
City Municipal Council Mysore

No M6.111/44-45
Incl

Date 17th October 1944

From

R RAMACHANDRA RAO BHOMBORE, Esq, B A
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq, B A B L,
Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject Re grant of increments to officials who have put in a service of over five years in the Local Bodies on the date of the Government Order No L 7487-507/M1 151-32-15 dated 18th April 1934

Adverting to the Government Order referred to above, I have the honour to state that there are a few officials in this Municipality who come within the purview of the above Government Order and for whom the increments are withheld on the ground that they have not passed the required Municipal and Local Boards Examinations, the passing of which is made obligatory to ~~each incumbent~~ earn increments in the said Government Order But the officials represent that as they had put in a service of over five years in the Local Boards on the date of the said Government Order, they are to be exempted from passing the prescribed examination as contemplated therein, and request that the increments in their respective grades may be sanctioned as and when they fall due But the practice obtaining in this Municipality so far, is to recommend individual cases in deserving cases for the sanction of Government for exemption from passing the examination even though they come within the meaning of the Government Order referred to above and to enforce the passing of the examination in other cases

On a reference made to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality requesting to intimate as to what procedure was followed there with regard to the grant of increments to the officials who come within the purview of the said Government Order, it is ascertained that the officials who have put in five years of service in the Local Bodies prior to the date of the above Government Order have all been allowed the increments on the basis of the said Order

P T O

In the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to intimate whether the officials who come within the meaning of the Government Order referred to above may be exempted from passing the required examination taking the said Government Order as authority therefor and allowed the increments due in their respective grades

The favour of an early reply is solicited

- I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

L-5096

dt 23 FEB 1945

To The Dor, aff mil cl, Mysore,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No Mob. III/44-45 dt 17-10-44 in regard to the grant of exemption to the officials who have put in over 5 years of service, from passing the Municipal & Local Boards Exams, as per Go No L7487-507/me 151-32-15 dt 18-4-34, I am directed to request you to be so good as to forward a statement showing the names of officials of who come under the exemption contemplated in the said Go, together with their service, age, & qualifications.

I have etc

for

Under Secy to Govt

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Ena. Dated 1st June 1945

From

R RAMACHANDRA RAO BHOMBORE F q B A
PRESIDENT CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu,Esq ,B A B L ,
Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 5096, dated 27th November 44, calling for a statement showing the names of officials who come under the exemption contemplated in G O No, 7497-507/M1 151-32-15, dated 18th April 1934, I have the honour to forward the same with the necessary particulars as called for

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

dated 18-4-1934, so as to entitle them for to the benefit of increments

b) W.O note No A1, 1143/45-46 dated 7-11-45 from the Revenue Room in Mysore, recommending that all the 22 officials mentioned in the list furnished by the President, Mysore City Municipal Council, may be accorded fresh fixing the fresh levels under Rule 9 of the Rules framed vide G.O 6-105/CA-15 1-34-1 dated 3-7-34

c) W.O note No 163/45-46/P&C dated 28-5-46, from the P.S Room on the subject

---

Order No 5921-5 dated 13.11.46

The President, Mysore City Municipal Council has reported that there are twenty two officials in the Mysore City Municipality, who come within the purview of G.O dated 18-4-34 read above and for whom increments are withheld on the ground that they have not passed the required Municipal

and Local Boards Examinations the passing of which is made obligatory in the above Government enabling them to order increments

The officials have represented that as they had put in a service of over five years in the Local Boards on the date of the said G.O. they may be exempted from passing the prescribed examination as contemplated therein, so as to entitle them to the benefit of the increments as and when they fall due

Both the Revenue Commissioner and the President, Taluk Municipal Council have recommended that the twenty officials (nineteen members of the Ministerial establishment and thirteen members of the Executive establishment) may be granted exemption from passing the Departmental tests

After a careful consideration of the

of the question, Government direct that, in accordance with the provisions of G.O dated 18-4-1934 read above, such of the Officials who had rendered a service of more than five years under the Local Bodies, on 18th April 1934, may be exempted from passing the prescribed Examination in the Law and Accounts relating to Municipalities and District Boards and in Accounts due to them sometimes according

The cases of other Officials who have put in a service of five years and less on 18-4-1934 should be dealt with under Rule 9 of the Revised Rules of Recruitment issued in G.O No 6-105/C P 15 1-34-1 dated 3-7-34

The Revenue Commissioner Mysore
" President, City Municipal Council Mysore
" Municipal Commissioner Bangalore
" D S Commissioner Mysore
" Collector

No L 669

28/11/44

To The President C.M.C Mysore

Sir, With reference to your letter no A-111/44 dated 1st June 45 on the subject of exemption of return officials from passing of the melly from examination, I am directed to state that no action can be taken on such a large-scale request. You are requested to submit each individual case separately, making out a case under the rules presented in this behalf. It should be clearly stated therein that

the age of each official as
and whether the official
has made any honest
attempt to pass the
examination etc

I have etc

for Asst Secy to Civil

Mr. Narain, Asst. Engineer / Clerk MC

(requesting to be exempted from passing his remedial Local Boards exam.

age - 47 yrs

Service - 27 yrs { 15 yrs in his present 12 yrs in Local Board

Present Pay Rs 330/-

in grade 250/1/-

No

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NAMES OF OFFICIALS WHO CAME UNDER THE EXEMPTION DATED 18TH APRIL,

Sl No	Names of the officials	Designation	Grade pay	Date of Birth	Date of entry into service	Age	Total Service	Qua:
	MINISTERIAL ESTABLISHMENT		Rs					
1	V C Appaswamappa	Clerk, Water Rev Sec	29/-	25-1-40	2-7-1902	16-9-26	42	18	
2	P S Krishniah setty	" Rev Sec	38/-	"	15-8-1905	18-7-24	39	20	S
3	S Muniswamiah	" Eng Sec	40/-	"	19-3-1901	11-9-24	44	21	E A B
4	N Ramaswamiah	" Health Sec	37/-	"	16-11-1898	1-5-18	47	26	
5	V Narayana Rao	" Octroi Office	33/-	"	11-7-1904	8-3-27	40	18	S
6	B J Panduranga Rao	" Rev Sec	35/-	"	4-12-1902	10-9-1919	43	26	V
7	M Subba Rao	" Head Office	33/-	"	8-3 1907	6-4-29	38	16	S
8	H S Ramachandra Rao	" do (OOD in Food Dept)	33/-	"	25-2-1906	1-7-25	39	20	S
9	B L Visveswariah	Senior clerk	60/- in 50-2-60	2-9-83 26-3-98		1-9-23	47	22	S
	EXECUTIVE ESTABLISHMENT								
1	M S Siddappa	Oct Suptd	160 in 150-10-200		9-6-99	16-6-23	46	22	
2	B ~~Channakeshaviah~~ Chikkanna	Asst Sergeant Devaraj Market	30 in 30-1-35		8-5-92	18-9-14	52	30	
3	M V Srikantiah	Bill Collector	24 in 20-1-25		21-5-98	16-8-28	47	17	
4	H V Sitaramiah	"	30 in 25-1-30		15-1-99	1-5-19	45	25	
5	K Nanjundasharma	"	30 do		10-1-1902	9-2-24	43	21	
6	S Subbaramiah	"	30 20-1-25		12-11-1902	1-4-23	43	22	
7	Rahaman Sheriff	Sergeant, OOD in Food Dept	60/- in 45-3-60		3-3-98	10-10-22	46	22	
8	A C Ramaraje Urs	Oct Inspt	40(fixed)		2-5-93	3-1-21	51	23	
9	Yusuf Khan	Bill Collector	28/- in 25-1-30		11-7-1908	10-9-27	37	18	
10	Suleman Khan	Toll Head Amin (Temporary)	25 (fixed)		25-11-11	2-3-29	33	16	
11	M K Ramiah	Bill Collector	24 in 20-1-25		21-6-1909	16-2-28	36	17	
12	M Lakshman singh	Bill Collector (OOD)	28/- in 25-1-30		6-6-1906	1-12-28	39	16	
13	M V Narayana Rao	Clerk, Water Sec	30 in 25-1-40		10-7-98	1-8-18	46	26	

R. Meeraj
PRESIDE
3/11/45

ATED IN GOVERNMENT ORDER NO L 7497-507/M 151-32-15

	Total No of years service on the date of G O	Remarks made by th Sectional Officers	Remarks by the President	President uncil Mysore
				ugr 19 47
	8 years	The Vice President ecommends that he may be exempted		152
Exptg	10 "	The Revenue Office recommends for exemption		
ense, Regn, Handwriting, Incometax	5 "	The Municipal Engineer recommends that as this official is suffering from short sight and cannot read and pass the Examination at this age, he may be exempted		
Se	16 "	The Health Officer recommends for exemption		
Exam	7 "	The Rev Officer recommends for exemption		h
Course	15 "			be
Exam	5 "			es-
One	9 "		MAY BE	ss-
	11 "	Exemption granted o this official under rule 49(e) ad (f) of the Mysore City Municipal Bye-laws	EXEMPTED	te
Course & Sectors	11 "			lo
	20 "	The Rev Officer recommends for exemption		um-
	6 "	do		to
	15 "	do		
	10 "	do		
	11 "	do		hat
C Course	12 "	do		a
	13 "	do		as
	7 "	do		
	5 "	do		
S	6 "	do		
C Course	6 "	do		
Clerkship, Writing	2½ "	He has put in 13½ years of service in the Rev Dept and 2½ yrs in the Local Bodies on the date of G O He is now past 45 years of age Had even appeared for Ml and Dist Bd Exam during Dec 44 The Vice-President under whom he is working recommends exempting this official from passing the test as he once made an attempt but failed and he is financially in bad circumstances, besides being aged to sit for the Exam		

exempted

Encl

From

R Ramachandra Rao Bhomire Esq B A
President City Municipal Council
MYSORE

Office of the President
City Municipal Council Mysore
Date 23rd August 1945

Ml. 11-46-52

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Additional Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 669 dated the 28th July 1945 directing that the case of each individual may be submitted separately, making out a case under the rules prescribed in this behalf i e , for claiming exemption from passing the Departmental Examination, I have the honour to state that under the Government Order of April 1934, officials who had put in a service of 5 years or more on the date of that Government Order would be exempted from passing the Departmental Examination i e , the Municipal and Local Boards Examination which was newly prescribed then Besides, according to Chapter VI of the Mysore City Municipal Rules and Byelaws, officials permanent or temporary who had put in a continuous service of 3 years on 1st July 1926 might be exempted from passing the prescribed tests On account of the impression that they need not pass the Departmental Examination as having been exempted under the abovesaid Government Order or the Byelaws, as the case may be the officials were not compelled to make any attempt to sit for the examination This principle was recognised by the subsequent officers also and till January 1941 all the officials got their usual increments and promotions in the usual course But subsequently my predecessor ordered that all officials (irrespective of their service) should pass the Municipal and Local Boards Examination before they could get any increments or promotions Some of the younger officials - accordingly passed the examination But the older officials could not sit for the examination since they were far advanced in

age or they did no possess sufficient English educational standard to pass t required tests Out of the 22 officials recommended for exiption, ten are above 45 years of age, out of the rest, oly two have passed the S S L E Examination, the qualifiation of the others being either Lower Secondary Examinatin or S S L C course

In this conrction, I wish to point out that in other local bodies such a Bangalore City Municipalrty and District Boards, officials who had put in a service of 5 years on the date of the said Government Order of April 1934 in their offices have all been treated as exempted from passing the required local test, and have been given the usual increments and promotions, but when they were transferred to this office, they were denied the benefits of increments and promotion, thus causing discontent and heart-burn in their minds, since their brathern in other local bodies were all exempted and have become their seniors I feel that it will be superfluous to recommend each case individually, as all of them have to be given exemption on the same grounds mentioned above

Since all the officials whose cases are mentioned in the list already sent come under the purview of the Government Order of April 1934, and are working satisfactorily, I request that sanction may kindly be accorded to exempt them from passing the prescribed Examination Of course, officials who entered service later and who do not come under the purview of the said Government Order of April 1934 will be required to pass the prescribed local tests as heretofore before they are either confirmed or given increments

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

SNR

-2-

L 75-70

To

26-6-46

The President, City Municipality
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No M 6, 111/44-45 dated 1-6-45, forwarding a statement showing the names of officials of the City Municipality, who may be exempted from passing the Municipal and Dist- Board Accounts examinations, so as to entitle them to the benefit of the increments, I am directed to state

that under Rule 9 of the revised rules of recruitment, such recommendations have to be made to Govt, through the Public Service Commissioners and that action may be taken accordingly

I have the honour
to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
23/1/56
Revenue Secretary
to Govt

No M6 111/44-45

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

Date 27th February 1946

R Ramachandra Rao Bhombore Esq B A
President City Municipal Council
MYSORE

J.B Mallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

SECRET 6148 1945

Sir,

Re The grant of exemption to the officials with a service of over five years from passing the Municipal and Local Boards Examination, as contemplated in G O No L 7497-507/ M1 151-32-4 dated 18-4-1934

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No L 7510 dated 26-1-46 on the above subject, stating that under Rule 9 of the revised rules of recruitment such recommendations have to be made to Government through the Public Service Commissioner and that sanction may be taken accordingly, I have the honour to state that the revised rules of recruitment referred to in your letter under advertance were issued in the subsequent Government Order No 6-105/CRB 1-34-1 dated 3-7-1934 and the cases of exemption contemplated under Rule 9, thereof are quite different from the present instance in which exemption is claimed on behalf of the officials coming within the purview of the Government Order No L 7497-507/M1 151-32-4 dated 18-4-1934 wherein it is clearly laid down in para 2 thereof that the staff working in the Municipal and District Board offices will be exempt from passing the Examination if they have already put in a service of over five years The Government order is mandatory in that it allows exemption which is deemed to have been granted in all such cases as per the terms enunciated in the said Government order and no fresh sanction in their cases seems to be necessary The Bangalore Municipality have sent a communication to the effect that increments have been allowed on the basis of the said Government order While the exemption is explicitly provided for and the Government order is acted upon in the sister Municipality, it may not be fair to make the officials under similar circumstances suffer for no fault The Local Auditor of the Municipality has also expressed the same

opinion and said that increments may be granted in all cases falling within the scope of the Government order, exemption being deemed to have been granted as contemplated therein

In view of these circumstances, and also of the fact that the Government order referred to above has not been modified, I am taking action on the basis of the said Government Order to allow increments in all such cases, treating them as having been exempted within the meaning thereof, as is done in the Bangalore Municipality

---

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

SNR 10

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Agl

No. L 5921-5

Revenue

Diary No of letter replied to

Section ML

File No 11-46

Serial No ~~47~~

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore 53

Date of issue 13 11 46 194

Signed by Mr G RR

Read— 1) G O No L 7487-507/ML 151-32-15, dated 18-4-34, directing that an examination in the Law and Accounts relating to Municipalities and District Boards be instituted for Officials employed under the said local bodies

2) XXXX

~~Order thereon~~—No 3) G O No L 8181-203/ML-1264/4 dated 10-3-42, passing orders in the matter of interchange of officials between the Local Bodies and the Revenue Dept and the examinations to be passed by them

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

XXX

1) G O No 6-105-C R-B 1-34-1 dated 3-7-1934, issuing revised rules of recruitment governing the subordinate services of Government

4) This office letter no L 11 71/ML 126-41-6, dated 19-6-42, directing that the officials already working in the several local bodies, may be given two years time from 10-3-42 to pass the Local service test prescribed in G.O. Ms No L&E 51-203 ML/126-41-6 dated 10-3-42, read above, the increments that would accrue to them in the interval being sanctioned

5) Govts Jurudence enclosing with letter no ML 111/44-45, dated 23-8-45, from the president-cum-Municipal Council, Tirupur, forwarding for sanction of Government a statement showing the names of 22 officials of the Tirupur city Municipality, who come under the exemption from passing the Departmental tests contemplated in G.O. No L 74497-507/ML 151-32-15

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

R e a d.-

Government Order No.L.7497-507/M1. 151-32-15, dated 18th April 1934 directing that an examination in the Law and Accounts relating to Municipalities and District Boards be instituted for officials employed under the said local bodies.

2. Government Order No.G-105/C R.B. 1-34-1 dated 3rd July 1934, issuing revised rules of recruitment governing the Subordinate Services of Government.

3. Government Order No.L.8181-203/M1. 126-41-4, dated 10th March 1942, passing orders in the matter of interchange of officials between the Local Bodies and the Revenue Department and the examinations to be passed by them.

4. This office letter No.L.1171/M1. 126-41-6 dated 19th June 194., directing that the officials already working in the several Local Bodies, may be given two years' time from 10-3-1942 to pass the Local Service Tests prescribed in G.O.No.L. 8181-203/M1. 126-41-4, dated 10th March 1942, read above, the increments that would accrue to them in the interval being sanctioned.

5. Correspondence ending with letter No.M.C.111/44-45 dated 23rd August 1945, from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, forwarding for sanction of Government, a statement showing the names of ~~XXX~~ 22 officials of the Mysore City Municipality, who come under the exemption from passing the Departmental Tests contemplated in G.O.No.L.7497-507/M1. 151-32-15 dated 18th April 1934 so as to entitle them to the benefit of increments.

6. Unofficial Note No.M1.1143/15-16 dated 7th November 1945 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending that all the 22 officials mentioned in the list furnished by the President, Mysore City Municipal Council may be ~~expected~~ exempted from passing the prescribed tests, under rule 9 of

the rules framed under G.O. 6-105/C.R.B. 1-34-1 dated 3rd July 1934.

7. U.O.No.162/45/P.S.C. dated 28th May 1946 from the Public Service Commissioner on the subject.

Order No. ~~C~~ 5921-5/Rul 11-46-53 Dated, Bangalore the 13th ~~October~~ November 1946.

The President, Mysore City Municipal Council has reported that there are about twenty two officials in the Mysore City Municipality, who come within the purview of Government Order dated 18th April 1934 read above and for whom increments are withheld on the ground that they have not passed the required Municipal and Local Boards Examinations the passing of which is made obligatory in the above Government order to enable them to earn increments.

The officials have represented that as they have put in a service of over five years in the local Boards on the date of the said Government Order, they may be exempted from passing the prescribed examination as contemplated therein so as to entitle them to the benefit of the increments, as and when they fall due.

Both the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore and the President, Mysore City Municipal Council have recommended that the twenty two officials ~~have recommended that the~~ (nine members of the ~~Executive~~ Establishment and 13 members of the Executive establishment) may be granted exemption from passing the Departmental Tests.

After careful consideration of the question, Government direct that, in accordance with the provisions of Government Order dated 18th April 1934, read above, such of the officials who had rendered a service of more than five years under the Local Bodies on 18-4-34 may be exempted from passing the prescribed examination in the Law and Accounts relating to Municipalities and District Boards and increments due to them sanctioned accordingly.

The cases of other officials who have put in a service of five years and less on 18th April 1934, should be dealt with under Rule 9 of the Revised Rules of Recruitment issued in

in Government Order No.G-Ob/C.R.B. 1-34-1 dated 3rd July 1934.

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.
The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality.
The Public Service Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

AG/S.